

## **AUTHORSHIP AND REVIEW STANDARDS FOR MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED TO THE *The Medical Journal, US Army Medical Center of Excellence (MEDCoE)***

The below information is adapted from the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors website: Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: Writing and Editing for Biomedical Publication (<http://www.icmje.org/index.html>). Specific manuscript preparation and submission requirements for authors are included in each issue of *The Medical Journal*, and are also provided on the journal's website: <https://www.cs.amedd.army.mil/the-medical-journal/>.

### **Potential Conflict of Interest**

Commitments by Participants: Conflict of interest exists when an author (or the author's institution), reviewer, or editor has financial or personal relationships that inappropriately influence (bias) his or her actions. Such relationships may be dual commitments, competing interests, or competing loyalties, among others. The significance of those relationships varies from negligible to great potential for influencing judgment. Of course, not all relationships represent true conflict of interest. However, the potential for conflict of interest can exist regardless of whether an individual believes that the relationship affects his or her judgment in the presentation or review of material. Financial relationships (eg, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, and paid expert testimony) are the most readily identifiable conflicts of interest, and the most likely to undermine the credibility of a publication, the authors, and of science itself. However, conflicts can occur for other reasons, such as personal relationships, academic competition, and intellectual passion.

All participants in the authorship, peer-review, and publication process must disclose all relationships that could be viewed as potential conflicts of interest. *The Medical Journal* editors may use information disclosed in conflict-of-interest and financial-interest statements as a basis for editorial decisions, and will publish the information if it is considered pertinent to the evaluation of the manuscript.

Project Support: Increasingly, individual studies receive funding from commercial firms, private foundations, and government. The conditions of this funding have the potential to bias and otherwise discredit the research.

Scientists have an ethical obligation to submit creditable research results for publication. Moreover, as the persons directly responsible for their work, researchers should not enter into agreements that interfere with their access to the data and their ability to analyze them independently, and to prepare and publish manuscripts. Authors should describe the role of the study sponsor, if any, in study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication. If the supporting source had no such involvement, the authors should explicitly state that fact.

The editor of *The Medical Journal* may request that author(s) of a study funded by an organization with a proprietary or financial interest in the outcome sign a statement, such as "I had full access to all of the data in this study and I take complete responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis."

## **Privacy and Confidentiality**

Patients have a right to privacy that should not be violated without informed consent. Identifying information, including names, initials, or hospital numbers, will not be published in written descriptions, photographs, or pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or parent or guardian) gives written informed consent for publication. Informed consent for this purpose requires that an identifiable patient be shown the manuscript to be published. Authors should disclose to these patients whether any potential identifiable material might be available via the Internet as well as in print after publication. Patient consent should be written and archived either with the journal, the authors, or both, as dictated by local regulations or laws. Applicable laws vary from locale to locale, and journals should establish their own policies with legal guidance.

Nonessential identifying details will be omitted. Informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt that anonymity can be maintained. For example, masking the eye region in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance, and editors should so note, that such alterations do not distort scientific meaning.

## **Protection of Human Subjects and Animals in Research**

In manuscripts which report experiments on human subjects, authors should indicate whether the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as amended in 2008 (<http://www.wma.net/e/policy/pdf/17c.pdf>). If doubt exists as to whether the research was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, the authors must explain the rationale for their approach and demonstrate that the institutional review body explicitly approved the doubtful aspects of the study. When reporting experiments on animals, authors should indicate whether the institutional and national guides for the care and use of laboratory animals were followed.